## TESTIMONY BY KANOE MARGOL INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM STATE OF HAWAII

# TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT ON SENATE BILL NO. 1090, S.D. 2

MARCH 20, 2015, 10:00 A.M.

#### RELATING TO THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Chair Nakashima, Vice Chair Keohokalole and Members of the Committee:

S.B. 1090, S.D. 2 proposes to amend Section 88-103.7, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), which requires departments of the State and counties to provide payroll and personnel information electronically to the Employees' Retirement System (ERS). This proposal ultimately benefits the ERS membership by requiring the employers to provide ERS the timely and accurate information needed in order for the ERS to promptly pay retired state and county employees their full retirement benefits. The bill also provides an economic incentive for employers to cooperate with the ERS.

When state and county employees retire, they are paid an estimated retirement benefit by the ERS until the system receives and audits the employees' final personnel and payroll records. The estimated benefit is usually less than an employee's actual benefit. If the ERS receives personnel and payroll records that are late, incomplete, or in a format that requires manual entry into their computer system, "finalization" and payment of retirants' benefits are delayed. Employers have little incentive to comply with the system's request for timely and accurate information in electronic format. Therefore, this proposal provides an economic incentive for them to do so.

This proposal specifies that, in addition to allocating payments to the periods payments are earned and specifying the purpose or nature of the payments, the employers are required to "indicate any changes or errors in payments that require correcting or updating."

ERS's personnel and payroll file formats are currently configured to accept corrections, adjustments and updated information, though most employers do not utilize this capability, resulting in delays in the processing of retirement benefits. Should it be enacted, the effective

date of S.B. 1090, S.D. 2 is extended to July 1, 2020, thereby allowing time for employers to plan, fund and upgrade their reporting systems to comply with ERS requirements. In the interim, the ERS shall annually report to the Legislature on the progress of State and County departments and agencies on their efforts to comply with Section 88-103.7, HRS.

The Board of Trustees of the Employees' Retirement System supports this bill.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on this important measure.



### STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

P.O. BOX 2360 HONOLULU, HAWAI`I 96804

> Date: 03/20/2015 Time: 10:00 AM Location: 309

Committee: House Labor & Public

**Employment** 

**Department:** Education

**Person Testifying:** Kathryn S. Matayoshi, Superintendent of Education

Title of Bill: SB 1090, SD2 RELATING TO THE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT

SYSTEM.

Purpose of Bill: Requires State and counties to furnish payroll and personnel

transaction information to the Employees' Retirement System in the required format. Requires the State or county to pay to the system in a lump sum at the beginning of the fiscal year the amount equal to the employer contributions payable by the department or agency, if a department or agency fails to provide the information in the required format. Requires unpaid amounts to bear interest. Requires the Employees' Retirement System to submit annual progress reports.

Effective date 7/1/2020. (SD2)

### **Department's Position:**

The Department of Education (DOE) would like to provide the following comments on SB1090, SD2.

The Department supports the intent of SB1090, SD2, but the requirements would overburden the Department's existing resources. Further, it would not be feasible for the Department to expend resources to comply with SB1090, SD2 in conjunction with the statewide Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) initiative. The ERP will provide a single automated system platform for all State departments and agencies that will automate the payroll process and provide the data details required for compliance with SB1090, SD2. Compliance with SB1090, SD2 apart from the ERP initiative would result in the duplication of efforts and application of resources.

DAGS processes payroll for the Department. The Department provides payroll information to DAGS in the manner and format required by the DAGS Payroll System. DAGS generates pay checks and pay statements for approximately 21,000 full time employees and upwards of 19,000 part time employees each pay period from the information provided from the Department.

The Department's human resource data is currently fed electronically as required. However, if the System changes format requirements, substantial reprogramming would be required. This would need to be done at a cost to taxpayers to update the programming.

The Department's payroll is completely manual. Payroll changes and adjustments are manually calculated on 5x8 payroll index cards (Payroll Adjustment Card) each pay period. The adjusted gross pay is then manually posted to the paper DAGS Payroll Change Schedules (PCS) and Payroll Master Card (5x8 index card that records pay history for each employee of the Department). The PCS is then manually balanced and submitted to DAGS Payroll. All pay changes noted on the PCS are manually data entered by ICSD CADES into the DAGS Payroll System. Pay checks and statements are then generated by DAGS each pay day.

Converting the data for tens of thousands of manual payroll data cards to an electronic data capture system to provide the level of details required for compliance with SB 1090, SD2, while continuing to process payroll through the DAGS Payroll System, will require extensive programmatic development and testing, and process re-engineering. Due to the payroll calculation complexities and sheer volume of data to convert, substantial additional resources will be required for compliance and would be not be feasible at this time.

The penalties for the Department's inability to furnish the system with the information in the format required would cause the Department to pay an estimated \$180 million.



Deanna S. Sako Director

Lisa K. Miura Deputy Director

### County of Hawai'i

Finance Department
25 Aupuni Street, Suite 2103 • Hilo, Hawai'i 96720
(808) 961-8234 • Fax (808) 961-8569

March 19, 2015

The Honorable Mark M. Nakashima, Chair and Members of the House Committee on Labor & Public Employment Hawai'i State Capitol 415 South Beretania Street, Room 309 Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813

RE: Senate Bill 1090, SD 2, RELATING TO EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Aloha Chair Nakashima and Committee Members:

The County of Hawai'i understands the intent of Senate Bill 1090, SD2, is to mandate that the Employees' Retirement System (ERS) require any department or agency of the State or counties to furnish to the system certain payroll and personnel transaction information, including any changes or errors in payments that require correcting or updating, by a specified deadline and in a specific manner. If the agency fails to provide the information by the required due date or in the required electronic format, the employer must pay an amount equal to the employer contribution from the year of failure. The payment must be made July 1st of the subsequent year or the amount will also be subject to interest. The ERS is not allowed any discretion in penalizing departments or agencies for failures, regardless of the circumstances.

The County of Hawai'i recognizes the importance of reporting all information, including any changes or errors in payments regarding payroll and personnel transactions, and we currently file information and pay in a timely manner.

Under the proposed legislation, the mandated penalty for late reporting or failure to use the specified electronic format would burden the County with a large advance payment. This is a particularly harsh penalty for what might be a single, excusable delay in reporting.

It is difficult for the County to know if it will be possible to comply with the required electronic format for changes and corrections, as we are not aware of the specific details. The County uses third party software for human resources and payroll processes, so changes require funding and time.

In July 2014, we requested help of the ERS to comply with this measure. We followed up several times with emails and phone calls. When we received their response in March 2015 (9 months later), they were not yet ready to give us guidance. We strongly oppose this measure, if the ERS cannot be more helpful and responsive. We cannot update our system in 180 days, if responses from the ERS take longer than that.

Maintaining language allowing ERS discretion in imposing a penalty for any failure to comply fully with this section would provide for reasonable decision making and fair penalization. The effective date of July 1, 2020 would be helpful in allowing us to get responses from the ERS.

We respectfully urge the committee to reject Senate Bill 1090, SD 2 in its current form. Thank you for your consideration.

Deanna Sako

Director of Finance

#### DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

### CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

650 SOUTH KING STREET 10<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR • HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813 TELEPHONE: (808) 768-8500 • FAX: (808) 768-5563 • INTERNET: www.honolulu.gov/hr

KIRK CALDWELL MAYOR



CAROLEE C. KUBO DIRECTOR

NOEL T. ONO ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

March 20, 2015

The Honorable Mark M. Nakashima, Chair and Members of the Committee on Labor & Public Employment
The House of Representatives
State Capitol, Room 309
415 South Beretania Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear Chair Nakashima and Members of the Committee:

SUBJECT: Senate Bill No. 1090 SD2

Relating to the Employees' Retirement System

Senate Bill 1090 SD2 would compel the City (and other employers) to furnish payroll and personnel transaction information to the Employees' Retirement System ("ERS") in a particular electronic format, and penalize employers who do not comply. While the City and County of Honolulu ("the City") supports the intention of facilitating prompt payment of retirement benefits, we **cannot support** this measure, because of the high degree of uncertainty surrounding implementation of this bill.

A. The Bill Lacks Specificity As To What Constitutes "Electronic Format" And Does Not Appear To Specify Only *Prospective* Application

This bill seeks to facilitate prompt payment of retirement benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries by requiring that employers furnish payroll and personnel transaction information to ERS in an (unspecified) electronic format. The City recognizes the importance of prompt payment of retirement benefits. Indeed, the majority of City-supplied information is already furnished to the ERS in electronic format. In addition to electronic format, however, the City also currently provides some types of information and documents in a non-electronic format; for example, data that precedes the City's implementation of an electronic records-keeping systems is only available in paper form. Currently, the ERS accepts documents that pre-date electronic record-keeping in paper format or in scanned pdf format. This bill, however, would require the ERS to accept information only in an (as yet unspecified) electronic format. The City

The Honorable Mark M. Nakashima, Chair and Members of the Committee on Labor & Public Employment The House of Representatives March 20, 2015 Page 2

asks that the bill be amended to specify that the requirement applies only to *prospective* information, and/or to specify that scanned pdf copy would be an acceptable "electronic format."

## B. 180 Days May Not Be A Sufficient Period For Employers To Comply With Format Changes

As noted above, the bill does not specify what the "required" format will be. Even if the format currently being used for employee information is to become the "required" format, in the event of future format changes, 180 days may not be a sufficient time period for the City to comply with any changes. Interfacing between two separate electronic records-keeping systems is always a challenge. In the event that the ERS changes to a system that is incompatible with the City's, the City may need time to determine whether changes to its own record keeping system, additional staffing, and/or other resources, may be needed to comply with this law and avoid penalty. In the event that additional resources are needed, delays may occur as the City would need to go through a budget process to obtain the needed resources.

### C. The ERS Should Be Permitted Broader Authority To Waive Penalties

The bill provides for penalties against employers who fail to provide information in the (as yet unspecified) "required" format. Waiver of the penalties are allowed only under the extremely limited circumstances of "unforeseen system failure, natural disaster, or other unforeseen event." The City submits that broader discretion should be granted to the ERS to determine when a waiver of penalties may be warranted. For example, the ERS may find it acceptable to continue to receive documents in paper format, if the documents do not exist in electronic format. Furthermore, in the event of a significant format change that is incompatible with the City's record-keeping system, the ERS may find it appropriate to waive penalties until a compatible solution is found. Greater flexibility should be given to the ERS to determine whether penalties are appropriate, in order to avoid a waste of taxpayer-money.

In light of the above concerns, we cannot support this measure and respectfully ask that it be held in committee. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Carolee C. Kubo

Carda C. Knts

Director

cc: Mayor's Office